## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Portland General Electric Company	)	Docket No. EL02-114-000
Enron Power Marketing, Inc.	)	

## RESPONSES OF PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY TO COMMISSION STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 114

Dated: October 28, 2002

In accordance with the procedural schedule established in this proceeding, Portland General Electric Company ("Portland General") hereby submits its responses to Data Request No. 114 of the Commission's Staff.

## **REQUEST:**

Please describe any events that occurred which PGE believes may explain why the April 6 to June 6 transactions ceased after June 6, 2000.

## **RESPONSE:**

Portland General does not know of any specific event that may explain why EPMI ceased the April 6 to June 6 transactions after June 6. Portland General does recollect one event that may explain why the transactions ceased.

In:,tlle first week of June: 2000, Portland General Merchant met with BPA Transmission .ta:discuss the.contractual rights of Portland General's network contract. It is Portland General's recollection that during the meeting, BPA Transmission pointed out that historically Portland General transactions that were scheduled south to north to Portland General's system were deemed delivered. BPA Transmission brought up the fact that the agreements don't specify that these transactions would be considered deemed delivered and that potentially going forward Portland General would be incurring an additional leg of network transmission. BPA Transmission was going to notify Portland General Merchant before they started tracking the additional transmission and losses. Based on the exposure to additional wheeling and losses, Portland General Merchant notified EPMI that the COB to John Day transaction was going to incur additional charges.

Submitted and Prepared By: Bill Casey

Date Response Answered: 11/14/02

Bates No. Range: 15656